



**THE OFFICIAL
AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL RULES SUPPLEMENT FOR
THE
2012 PHILIP C. JESSUP INTERNATIONAL LAW
MOOT COURT COMPETITION**

AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL RULES SUPPLEMENT FOR THE 2012 PHILIP C. JESSUP INTERNATIONAL LAW MOOT COURT COMPETITION

AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL RULE 0.0 AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL RULES SUPPLEMENT TO THE OFFICIAL RULES

AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL RULE 1.0 ADDITIONAL DEFINITIONS

AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL RULE 2.0 ELIGIBILITY, SELECTION AND OUTSIDE ASSISTANCE

- 2.1 Team Composition
- 2.2 Team Member Eligibility
 - 2.2.1 Team Member Requirements
 - 2.2.2 Exception
- 2.3 Team Selection
 - 2.3.1 Generally
 - 2.3.2 Intramural Competitions
 - 2.3.3 Jessup Courses
- 2.4 Outside Assistance to Teams
 - 2.4.1 Relationship to the Australian Guidelines
 - 2.4.2 General Rule
 - 2.4.3 Coach and Faculty Advisor
 - 2.4.4 General Commentary by Coach or Faculty Advisor
 - 2.4.5 Assistance from Other Teams
 - 2.4.6 Outside Persons

AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL RULE 3.0 TEAM REGISTRATION

- 3.1 Registration of Team Members, Coach and Faculty Advisor
- 3.2 Registration Number

AUSTRALIAN RULE 4.0 MEMORIALS

- 4.1 Format and Length
 - 4.1.1 Font Sizes
 - 4.1.2 Paper Size and Margins
 - 4.1.3 Spacing

- 4.1.4 Binding and Covers
- 4.2 Citations
 - 4.2.1 Footnotes
 - 4.2.2 Footnote Contents
 - 4.2.3 Citation Style
- 4.3 Number of Copies
 - 4.3.1 National Competition
 - 4.3.2 International Competition
- 4.4 Finalisation and Submission Date of Memorials
 - 4.4.1 Submission to National Administrator
 - 4.4.2 Submission to International Administrator
 - 4.4.3 Return Address
 - 4.4.4 Copies of Memorials from Opposing Teams
- 4.5 Anonymity of Memorials: Document Properties

AUSTRALIAN RULE 5.0 ORAL PLEADINGS

- 5.1 Rebuttal and Surrebuttal
- 5.2 Extension of Time at Judge's Discretion

AUSTRALIAN RULE 6.0 ELIMINATION ROUNDS

- 6.1 General Procedures
- 6.2 Provision of a Draw
- 6.3 Quarter-Finalists
- 6.4 Semi-Finalists
- 6.5 Sides Argued by Teams
- 6.6 Scouting Rules
- 6.7 Bailiffs/Timekeepers

AUSTRALIAN RULE 7.0 ANONYMITY AND COMMUNICATION

- 7.1 Names of Team Members
- 7.2 Court-room Communication

AUSTRALIAN RULE 8.0 JUDGING

- 8.1 Winner of a Round
- 8.2 Memorial Scoring
- 8.3 Oral Scoring
- 8.4 Selection of Judges

**AUSTRALIAN RULE 9.0
PENALTIES**

9.1 Memorial and Oral Round Penalties

9.2 Discretionary Penalties

9.3 Other Penalties

**AUSTRALIAN RULE 10.0
MISCELLANEOUS**

ANNEX – GUIDELINES ON OUTSIDE ASSISTANCE

AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL RULE 0.0

AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL RULES SUPPLEMENT TO THE OFFICIAL RULES

The Official Rules of the Jessup International Law Moot Court Competition (“Official Rules”) shall be followed unless an Australian National Rule set out in the Australian National Rule Supplement (“Australian National Rule”) provides otherwise.

AUSTRALIAN RULE 1.0

ADDITIONAL DEFINITIONS

“Assistant coach”, “Assistant advisor” and like positions, are not recognised by the Official Rules and are expressly disallowed by the Australian National Rules.

“Outside Assistance” means assistance from any individual who is not a Team Member.

“Outside Persons” means any individual who is not a Team Member, Coach or Faculty Advisor.

“Team Member” has the same meaning as the Official Rules. The Official Rules do not recognise Team Members outside of this definition. Accordingly Team Members denominated “alternate”, “reserve”, “substitute” or any similar designation are expressly disallowed by the Australian National Rules.

Comment – These additional definitions assist in clarifying the Official Rules on prohibited Outside Assistance (Official Rule 2.4). They form part of the definition of who and how many can coach, attend practice moots and otherwise assist.

AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL RULE 2.0

ELIGIBILITY, SELECTION AND OUTSIDE PARTICIPATION

2.1 TEAM COMPOSITION

In accordance with Official Rule 2.2, no more than 5 individuals who are Team Members may contribute to the work product of the Team over the course of the Competition Year. Team Members may not be substituted at any time after the release of the Competition Problem, except in extenuating circumstances with the Permission of the Executive Director. See Official Rule 3.6 and accompanying NOTE.

Comment – This rule helps emphasise that no more than 5 individual Team Members may contribute to the work product of a team.

2.2 TEAM MEMBER ELIGIBILITY

2.2.1 TEAM MEMBER REQUIREMENTS. Official Rule 2.2.1 provides that a person may be a Team Member if he or she:

- (a) is pursuing a law degree or an international law-related degree; and
- (b) is enrolled at an eligible institution as a full-time or part-time student during the relevant academic year and plans to participate in the Competition on behalf of that institution; and

(c) has not engaged in the practice of law in any jurisdiction after graduating from any type of law degree program.

Team Members should be current students enrolled at the eligible competing institution, or students from such an institution embarking or about to embark on Legal Workshop, College of Law or other higher qualifications. In accordance with the spirit of the Official Rule, Team Members may not be individuals who at any time during the Competition Year are admitted to, or engaged in, the practice of law as an admitted person.

2.2.2 EXCEPTIONS. Official Rule 2.2.2 requires the written permission of the Executive Office in defined circumstances for individuals to be team members. For the purpose of the Australian National Rules, the National Administrator must be notified of the application for written permission under Official Rule 2.2.2 at the time it is made.

2.3 TEAM SELECTION

2.3.1 GENERALLY. Note especially Official Rule 2.2 and Official Rules 2.2.1 and 2.2.2.

2.3.2 INTRAMURAL COMPETITIONS. In accordance with Official Rule 2.3.1, where a law school utilises some form of local competition or assessment of students seeking inclusion in the Jessup team, the current Jessup problem cannot be used in the local competition or assessment.

2.3.3 JESSUP COURSES. In accordance with Official Rule 2.4.5, except where student enrolment in a course is *limited exclusively to Team Members* from a University participating in the Competition, Team Members may not enrol or participate in a course that uses the current year's Competition Problem as a subject matter of instruction, as a teaching tool, or as a means of selecting team members.

Comment – Official Rule 2.2.1 consolidates the old rules on eligibility and ineligibility. Official Rule 2.4.5 imposes restrictions on the use of “Jessup Courses” as a method for team selection, except where the course is limited to Team Members of a participating institution.

2.4 OUTSIDE ASSISTANCE TO TEAMS

2.4.1 RELATIONSHIP TO OFFICIAL RULES AND AUSTRALIAN GUIDELINES. Australian National Rule 2.4 is to be read in conjunction with the Official Rules and the Australian Guidelines on Outside Assistance to Teams (see, Annex).

2.4.2 GENERAL RULE. In accordance with Official Rule 2.4.1, all work produced for use in the Competition must be the exclusive work of Team Members, and only Team Members. No individual who is not a Team Member may assist in any way in the research, writing, editing or development of legal or factual arguments used in written memorials or in oral pleadings, including reviewing and/or suggesting improvements on any substantive legal or factual aspect of written memorials or oral pleadings.

2.4.3 COACH AND FACULTY ADVISOR. In accordance with the letter and spirit of Official Rule 2.4.3, an Australian Team may only have **one (1) Coach** and/or **one (1) Faculty Advisor** as necessary to adequately prepare the Team for fair competition. The National Administrator may grant exceptions to this rule based on particular circumstances. Requests for permission to vary the rule must be made in writing to the National Administrator.

2.4.4 GENERAL COMMENTARY BY COACH OR FACULTY ADVISOR. For the purposes of the Australian National Rules, the “general commentary on the quality of the Team’s legal and factual arguments” that Coaches and Faculty Advisors may provide to a team under Official Rule 2.4.3(f) is limited to basic characterisations about merit and non-merit of the arguments. General commentary in this sense does not include advice on how to improve arguments, the correction of error, or highlighting omissions. Advice of this nature as “general commentary” is prohibited and is considered Outside Assistance under the Australian National Rules.

Comment – In the spirit of Official Rule 2.4, Australian National Rules 2.4.3 and 2.4.4 aim to ensure that the written memorials and oral pleadings are the exclusive work of Team Members so that Teams can be judged on their own merit and not in relation to the availability (or the lack) of outside resources and assistance. In limiting Teams to one Coach and/or one Faculty Advisor (with the possibility of appropriate exception), the Australian National Rules recognise the disparities in access to human resources amongst Australian Teams and also the generally high level and quality of international law training across Australia.

2.4.5 ASSISTANCE FROM OTHER TEAMS. In accordance with Official Rule 2.4.4, Teams, Coaches and Faculty Advisors from any Team, including Teams that have been eliminated from the Competition, may not provide any form of assistance in any way to any other Team.

2.4.6 OUTSIDE PERSONS. In accordance with Official Rule 2.4.7 on Practice Rounds, prior to the submission of written memorials, only Team Members, the Coach and/or the Faculty Advisor may serve as judges in practice moots involving argument on the Competition Problem for the Competition Year. Outside Persons may only serve as judges in practice moots after the submission of memorials. Pursuant to Official Rule 2.4.7 Outside Persons must not offer any commentary or suggestions about specific substantive arguments during Practice Rounds.

Comment – The limitations on outside assistance imposed by Official Rule 2.4.7 shall be followed. It is more stringent than the past practice of many Australian universities.

AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL RULE 3.0 TEAM REGISTRATION

3.1 REGISTRATION OF TEAM MEMBERS, COACH AND FACULTY ADVISOR

Under Official Rule 3.3 and 3.4 all Teams must submit all Team Member’s names and the name of each Coach and Faculty Advisor for a Team with the ILSA Executive Office by the deadline in the Official Schedule. All changes in the identity of Team Members, Coach and Faculty Advisor must also be notified to the Executive Office.

Under the Australian National Rules, the same information must be provided to the National Administrator in writing at the same time it is submitted under the Official Rules.

Comment – Teams are under a continuing obligation to report changes to registration information to both the International and National Administrators. This obligation continues throughout the Competition Year unless the team is eliminated from the Competition. Please also note Australian National Rule 2.1, which prohibits substitution of team members.

3.2 REGISTRATION NUMBER

Official Rule 3.5 states that each team in the competition will be assigned an official team number by the ILSA Executive Director for use in all levels of the competition. This ensures anonymity of the teams.

Official Rule 6.3.2 states that the official team number, followed by an A or an R (designating either Applicant or Respondent) must be placed on the upper right hand corner of the outside front covers of the appropriate team memorials.

Example for Team 20:

An electronic copy	20A, 20R
Hard copies	20A:1, 20A:2, 20A:3

Neither the school name nor the name of the country that they represent may appear anywhere on the team memorials. No signature pages are allowed. See also Official Rule 6.3 regarding the Memorial Content.

The official team number will be the assigned number for the Australian National competition. These numbers will be conveyed to the Team's Coach, Faculty Advisor or designated Team Member directly by the Australian National Administrator.

AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL RULE 4.0 MEMORIALS

4.1 FORMAT AND LENGTH

See generally Official Rule 6.0 for details about the format, layout and structure of Memorials.

Official Rule 6.4 requires that each memorial must comply with the following word limitations:

- (a) The Pleadings section must be no longer than 9,000 words, which is inclusive of any footnotes and the Conclusion and/or Prayer for Relief;
- (b) The Summary of Pleadings section must be no longer than 700 words;
- (c) The Statement of Facts section must be no longer than 1,200 words.

All parts of each memorial shall be produced in a single file using Microsoft Word 2003, Microsoft Word 2007, or Microsoft Word 2010. Word count shall be conducted using the standard 'word count' feature in Microsoft Word.

Please note that Cover Page, Table of Contents, Index of Authorities, Statement of Jurisdiction, or Questions Presented shall not be counted in calculating the number of words in each memorial.

Comment - With respect to the 9,000 word limit on Pleadings – this denotes 9,000 words maximum for the Applicant Pleading, and then another 9,000 words maximum for the Respondent Pleading.

4.1.1 FONT AND FONT SIZES. See generally Official Rule 6.2.4.

4.1.2 PAPER SIZE AND MARGINS. Under Official Rule 6.2.2 all Memorials (including those produced in Australia) must be formatted for Letter size 8.5 x 11 inches (21.6 x 27.9 cm), with equal margins of at least one inch (2.54 cm) on all four sides.

Under the Australian National Rules the Official Rule 6.2.2 on the formatting of paper size and margins must be followed. However, the memorials may still be printed on A4 paper and submitted on A4 paper for the Australian Qualifying Tournament.

Comment - This rule change was driven by the ILSA Executive to facilitate printing and distribution of memorials. In recognition of pagination problems that might arise, penalties for incorrect page references and other problems with the Index of Authorities have been removed. However, a good and accurate Index of Authorities may be considered by the Memorial Judges in assessing Memorials.

4.1.3 SPACING. Official Rule 6.2.5 requires that the text of all parts of each memorial (except for the cover page, table of contents, table of authorities and footnotes and headings) must be at least double-spaced. The text of footnotes and headings may be single-spaced, but there must be at least double-spacing between separate footnotes, *and between headings and the body-text of pleadings*. Quotations in excess of 50 words or more may be block-quoted and may be single-spaced.

Comment –Teams should pay attention to line spacing in footnotes. In 2010, the ILSA executive interpreted ‘double-spacing between separate footnotes’ as requiring a blank line even after the last footnote at the end of a page.

4.1.4 BINDING AND COVERS. Teams must not use plastic ring-combs or plastic sheet-covers when binding their memorials. Covers of Memorials should be cardboard or heavy paper stapled along the left margins. For all other aspects of the Covers refer to Official Rule 6.3.2.

4.2 CITATIONS

4.2.1 FOOTNOTES. Teams are required by Official Rule 6.5 to cite authority in the footnotes for propositions of law or fact advanced in the text. Endnotes are not permitted.

4.2.2 FOOTNOTE CONTENTS. In accordance with Official Rule 6.5, footnotes may include substantive pleadings in addition to the text of the citation itself.

4.2.3 CITATION STYLE. Teams may adopt any system of citation that is used in international journals and is clear in the sense that a judge can readily trace it if reference is required.

Comment - One Australian reference point is the Australian Year Book of International Law. Two other guides to citation are the Australian Guide to Legal Citation and The Blue Book: A Uniform System of Citation published by the Harvard Law Review Association. The system used must be one which can be found in use in an international journal.

4.3 NUMBER OF COPIES

4.3.1 NATIONAL COMPETITION. Each team shall submit **one (1) electronic copy and twelve (12) identical paper copies** of both (i.e. Applicant and Respondent) memorials to the National Administrator by the date and time due. Each copy should be numbered.

4.3.2 INTERNATIONAL COMPETITION. Each team shall submit **one (1) identical electronic copy** of the Applicant Memorial and **one (1) identical electronic copy** of the Respondent Memorial to the ILSA Executive Director.

4.4 SUBMISSION OF MEMORIALS

The deadline for submission of identical memorials to both the National and the International Administrator is no later than 12:00 noon, local time of Team location, on **Tuesday 10 January 2012**.

4.4.1 SUBMISSION TO NATIONAL ADMINISTRATOR. One electronic copy of both the Applicant and Respondent memorial must be submitted **in one electronic mail** to the National Administrator by **12:00 noon, local time of the Team location, on Tuesday 10 January 2012**. The memorials should be sent to: jessup@law.anu.edu.au.

The 12 hard copies of the memorials must be sent to the National Administrator by ***express post or courier*** and must display a date stamp no later than **6:00pm, local time of the Team location, on Tuesday 10 January 2012**.

Comment – the deadline will be applied consistent with various time zones across Australia in January 2012 so that each team will be expected to submit by the deadline according to the applicable time zone; ie – Brisbane (Eastern Standard Time); Sydney and Melbourne (Eastern Daylight Time) It is *essential* that memorials be sent by courier or Express Post to ensure timely arrival in Canberra and their rapid despatch to Memorial Judges.

4.4.2 SUBMISSION TO INTERNATIONAL ADMINISTRATOR. One electronic copy of both the Applicant and Respondent memorial are to be submitted to the ILSA Executive Director **in one electronic mail** no later than **12:00 noon, local time of Team location, on Tuesday 10 January 2012**.

Comment – see observations immediately above regarding applicable time zones and the submission deadline.

4.4.3 RETURN ADDRESS. In 2012 memorials are to be addressed to:

For the National Administrator:

Professor Donald R. Rothwell
ANU College of Law, Fellows Road
The Australian National University
Canberra, ACT 0200
jessup@law.anu.edu.au

For the International Administrator:

Executive Director
International Law Students Association

4.4.4 COPIES OF MEMORIALS FROM OPPOSING TEAMS. Teams will be supplied with one copy of their opponent team's memorial for each of the elimination rounds. That copy must be handed back to the bailiff/timekeeper at the end of the round in question. Apart from this, no team may obtain access to another team's memorials, whether before or during the competition, until the conclusion of the National Competition. If more than one team attends the International Rounds, neither of those teams may obtain access to a memorial of the other team, which was not supplied to them for the purpose of the elimination rounds.

4.5 ANONYMITY OF MEMORIALS: DOCUMENT PROPERTIES

Consistent with Official Rule 6.7 (Anonymity in Memorials), teams submitting memorials in Word format are responsible for deleting all 'file properties' from the electronic copy of both the Applicant and Respondent memorials submitted to the National Administrator under Australian National Rule 4.4.1. Failure to do so may amount to a breach of Official Rule 6.7 and Official Rule 2.8 (Anonymity of Teams).

If all file properties can not be deleted for any reason, teams are responsible for bringing this to the attention of the National Administrator before lodging memorials.

Rationale: The purpose of the rule change is to remove any identifying information from those parts of a Word document specifically designed for identification purposes, thereby guarding against an inadvertent breach of Official Rules 6.7 (Anonymity in Memorials) and 2.8 (Anonymity of Teams). The 'file properties' component of a Word document is specifically designed for inputting a document's identifying characteristics (including author's name and company), and may contain such information as a default setting. Deleting the file properties is a simple and effective mechanism for avoiding an inadvertent disclosure.

Comment: To learn how to remove document properties in Microsoft Word 2007 and 2010, see the following link: <http://bit.ly/b1JAaD>.

AUSTRALIAN RULE 5.0 ORAL PLEADINGS

See generally Official Rule 7.0. Time Limits will follow strictly those found in the Official Rule.

5.1 REBUTTAL AND SURREBUTTAL

A Team must announce its reservation of time for rebuttal or surrebuttal to the judges and the bailiff/timekeeper at the beginning of the oral pleadings for that round. However, failure to announce does not constitute a waiver of the right to rebuttal or surrebuttal if time remains for a Team. Rebuttal or surrebuttal time, if used, is part of the total of 25 minutes that is the maximum time for a single oralist, and may not exceed ten minutes. No new material may be introduced in rebuttal or surrebuttal. See Official Rule 7.3.1.

5.2 EXTENSION OF TIME AT JUDGE'S DISCRETION

Pursuant to Official Rule 7.1.1 judges have the discretion to extend the total Team oral argument time beyond the 45 minute limit. Under the Australian National Rules, if discretion

is exercised to extend the time of one Team, then ordinarily, if requested, the Judges should allow a similar extension of time in length to the other Team.

Comment – In order to ensure fairness, judicial discretion exercised in favour of extending the time of one Team, should also be exercised in favour of the other Team if the other Team requests additional time. The extra time allowed to each Team ordinarily should be equivalent.

AUSTRALIAN RULE 6.0 ELIMINATION ROUNDS

6.1 GENERAL PROCEDURES

In the elimination rounds each team will argue in four rounds, twice as Applicant and twice as Respondent. See Official Rule 10.6 regarding ranking oralists. Team ranking will be in accordance with the oral and memorial scores as detailed in Australian National Rule 8.0.

6.2 PROVISION OF A DRAW

Teams will be notified of the draw for the elimination rounds in January after the National Administrator has completed the draw. The pairings during Eliminations Rounds shall be based on the seeding of teams according to team rankings calculated on Memorial scores after adjustment with all the penalties applied. Teams shall be divided into Pools A, B, C, and D and shall be required to moot once against teams from each of the Pools.

Comment – the procedure for the draw was approved by Coaches at the 2005 Annual Meeting of Teams and remains in place.

6.3 QUARTER-FINALISTS.

The eight teams competing in the quarter-finals shall be determined generally in accordance with the provisions of Official Rule 10.4, with the exception that the rankings of teams shall be determined as follows:

- First - Overall win-loss record as per Official Rule 10.4.2 (a)
- Second – The number of draws as per Official Rule 10.4.2 (b)
- Third - Total Competition Round Points as per Official Rule 10.4.2 (d)
- Fourth - Total Competition Raw Scores as per Official Rule 10.4.2 (c)

Total Competition Round Points shall therefore prevail over Total Competition Raw Scores in the ranking of teams. The Tie-Breaking Procedure as outlined in Official Rule 10.4.3 also applies in necessary cases.

The teams competing in the quarterfinals will be announced as soon as possible after the last elimination round. The quarterfinals will be drawn as follows. “1st” refers to the 1st ranked team after the preliminary/elimination rounds.

QF A – 1st v 8th
QF B – 2nd v 7th
QF C – 3rd v 6th
QF D – 4th v 5th

6.4 SEMI-FINALISTS

The teams that progress to the Semi-Finals are those that win their respective Quarterfinal moots. The semi-finals will be drawn as follows:

SF A – Highest Ranking Remaining Team v Fourth Highest Ranking Remaining Team

SF B – Second Highest Ranking Remaining Team v Third Highest Ranking Remaining Team

6.5 SIDES ARGUED BY TEAMS

In the elimination rounds, the side to be argued by each team will be allocated at the same time as notification of the draw. In the quarterfinal, semi-final and final rounds it will be decided by the toss of a coin, in the presence of the National Administrator (contra to Official Rule 8.5). However, if two teams have already mooted against each other in the elimination rounds, the team which argued for the applicant in the earlier round will argue for the respondent in either the quarter-final, semi-final or final round.

6.6 SCOUTING RULES

The scouting rules in Official Rule 7.7.1 are to be observed. The National Administrator may, in the interests of the Competition, waive this Rule in relation to certain individuals.

6.7 BAILIFFS/TIMEKEEPERS

Generally bailiffs/timekeepers will be drawn from the members of competing Teams, although the National Administrator may make other arrangements as appropriate. Teams will be advised of their obligations to provide bailiffs/timekeepers once the draw has been finalised for the oral rounds. No Coach or Faculty Advisor may time keep.

AUSTRALIAN RULE 7.0 ANONYMITY AND COMMUNICATION

7.1 NAMES OF TEAM MEMBERS

For the purposes of conducting courtroom discussions with the judges, bailiff and time-keeper, Team Members may only provide their individual names as opposed to their university. The bailiff/timekeeper prior to the start of each oral round will collect these, but not the names of Coaches, Faculty Advisors and others associated with the Team. See in other respects Official Rule 7.10.

Comment – This Rule follows amendments to the Official Rule to make clear that it is permissible for Team Members to identify themselves and their university to Team Members from other Teams during the Competition.

7.2 COURT ROOM COMMUNICATION

Official Rule 7.6 provides that only oral communications are permitted during Oral Rounds between the oralists and judges. Oralists making submissions may not hand any document, whether typed or hand written, during their submissions to any judge.

AUSTRALIAN RULE 8.0 JUDGING

Each round will be decided on a points system identical in most of its essential features to Official Rule 10.0.

8.1 WINNER OF A ROUND

The winner of a round is determined by the total judges' points received for that round. For each moot there will be 9 round points available: 3 for memorials; 6 for oral argument. The oral and memorial scores are determined as explained below, then added together to get the total points for the team for that round.

8.2 MEMORIAL SCORING

1. A panel of either 3 or 6 judges will mark the memorials. If 6 judges are used, one group of 3 judges will mark all the memorials for the Applicant and the other 3 judges will mark all the memorials for the Respondent. If 3 judges are used each judge marks all the memorials. Each judge is required to score the memorial on a scale of 50 to 100 points. Please note that the 6 (3 x 2) memorial marks are "carried" throughout the Australian National Competition, including the Quarterfinal, Semi-final and Final rounds.

2. In each oral Round, one point is given for each time a Team's memorials are scored higher than its opponent's memorials. For the purpose of matching memorial scores, the combined scores for both the Applicant and Respondent memorials of each Team are compared.

3. To this end, a Team's highest scores for both its Applicant and Respondent memorials will be combined and matched with the similarly combined highest score of the opponent Team; then their second-highest combined scores will be matched, and finally their lowest combined scores will be matched.

Comment - This Australian National Rule is intended to surmount the problems periodically caused by idiosyncratic memorial marking styles and those cases where the Jessup Problem poses more difficult or less attractive arguments for one side. It will gauge more accurately which team is the better memorial writing team.

8.3 ORAL SCORING

A panel of three judges will judge each oral round other than when one of the judges becomes unavailable at late notice in which case the oral round will proceed with two judges as per Official Rule 10.3. Two oral round points will be awarded independently by each judge to the team whose oral performance s/he scores higher than the opposing team. A judge may not score the Teams equally (contrary to Official Rule 10.2.2.2).

8.4 SELECTION OF JUDGES

Judges of the oral rounds will be selected in accordance with the spirit of Official Rules 5.1 and 5.3. Contrary to Official Rules 5.1.2, Coaches and Faculty Advisors are allowed to act as a judge in a moot at the discretion of the National Administrator. However, no Coach or

Faculty Advisor may act as a judge in a moot in which his or her team is participating or in which one of the teams in that moot is scheduled to moot against the team of the advisor or coach later in the preliminary rounds of the competition.

AUSTRALIAN RULE 9.0 PENALTIES

9.1 MEMORIAL AND ORAL ROUND PENALTIES

Official Rules 11.1 and 11.2 list specific memorial and oral round penalties. Please refer to the table in the Official Rules. For complaints about oral round violations, teams must follow the complaints procedure in Official Rule 11.2.1.

Comment – In 2008 a number of changes in penalties were made. These included penalties for formatting violations, Index of Authorities violations, and the severity of certain penalties. Teams are urged to become familiar with the changes.

9.2 DISCRETIONARY PENALTIES

The Official Rules provide for the imposition of discretionary penalties by the National Administrator. Where discretionary penalties may be imposed, the National Administrator will decide whether to impose a penalty in accordance with the criteria laid down in Official Rule 11. Teams are also asked to be particularly mindful of violations engaging in poor sportsmanship.

9.3 OTHER PENALTIES

See especially Official Rule 2.1(d) and 2.4.2. Violations under these Rules carry non-discretionary disqualification from the Competition.

AUSTRALIAN RULE 10.0 MISCELLANEOUS

These Rules shall remain in force from year to year and may be amended by the National Administrator, who will as far as possible consult with the faculty advisors of the teams participating in the previous year's competition. The rules will be reissued with any amendments (including amendments to the Official Rules) each year.

Any dispute in the application of these rules, or the Official Rules as they apply to the Australian National Competition will be resolved:

- In respect of the Final, by the bench for that round (or a majority of them), in consultation if necessary with the National Administrator;
- In all other cases, by the National Administrator.

Consistent with Official Rules, a Team may appeal any decision regarding the rules or penalties by a National Administrator to the ILSA Executive Office.

In the event of a minor breach of the regulations by one team member the National Administrator shall have discretion to disregard that breach where no substantial detriment

has been caused to the opposing team. The decision whether substantial detriment has occurred will rest solely in the discretion of the National Administrator.

DONE 1 DECEMBER 2011

Professor Donald R. Rothwell

Australian National Administrator

Jessup International Law Moot Competition 2011

Telephone: 02 6125 8948/MOB 0414 546 830

Fax: 02 6125 0150

Email: RothwellD@law.anu.edu.au

**Philip C. Jessup International Law Moot
2012 Australian National Rounds**

ANNEX TO THE AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL RULES

Guidelines on Outside Assistance to Teams

1. Assistance from librarians, computer research advisors, and other legal resource specialists shall be limited to answering specific questions regarding the location of legal resources or general research methods.
2. Assistance from computer technicians and computer support personnel in the preparation of memorials shall be limited solely to technical and computer-related matters which have no direct impact on the substance of legal argument in the memorials.
3. Assistance from other persons with the printing of memorials shall be limited solely to the physical printing and binding of memorials.
4. These guidelines will be updated annually and at all times must be read consistently with the 'Official Rules' of the Philip C. Jessup International Law Moot Court Competition as issued by the International Law Students' Association.

Professor Donald R. Rothwell
Australian National Administrator
Jessup International Law Moot Competition 2011
1 December 2011